Prédiction du risque de chute via l'estimation des caractéristiques de la marche à l'aide de capteurs embarqués

Naima Al Abiad, Thomas Robert et Valerie Renaudin

Funded by: The Mobility and Digital Transition project







Contents

- Introduction
- Controlled environment test
- Measurements in Elderly and young
- Machine learning and improved step detection
- Conclusion and Future work



Introduction



Falls







How to measure?

Visual assessments





Laboratory assessments









In 2017, **59%** of adults **65–69** years old, **49%** of adults **70–74** years old, and **31%** of adults **75–79** years old are smartphone owners



29071 Málaga, Spain; E-Mails: rluque@uma.es (R.L.); mjmoron@uma.es (M.-J.M.)



Why measure gait?

Gait Variability: Stride time variability

Neuromotor noise is increased, which in its turn results in a greater variability



Manuel Montero-Odasso,^{1,2,3} Susan W. Muir,¹ Maggie Hall,⁴ Timothy J. Doherty,^{2,5} Marita Kloseck,⁶ Olivier Beauchet,⁷ and Mark Speechley³ • Non-linear measures: Lyapunov Exponent.

Reflects the ability to recover from small perturbation

Local dynamic stability and variability of gait are associated with fall history in elderly subjects

Marcel J.P. Toebes ^a, Marco J.M. Hoozemans ^a, Regula Furrer ^a, Joost Dekker ^b, Jaap H. van Dieën ^{a,*}

³MOVE Research Institute Amsterdam, Faculty of Human Movement Sciences, VU University Amsterdam, The Netherlands
^b VU University Medical Center, Department of Rehabilitation Medicine, EMGO Institute for Health and Care Research, The Netherlands

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Local dynamic stability during gait for predicting falls in elderly people: A one-year prospective study

Lucia Bizovska $^{1\circ}*,$ Zdenek Svoboda $^{1\circ},$ Miroslav Janura $^{1\circ},$ Maria Cristina Bisi $^{2\ddagger},$ Nicolas Vuillerme 3,4‡

Differentiating fall-prone and healthy adults using local dynamic

stability

Thurmon E. Lockhart^{*} and Jian Liu

Locomotion Research Laboratory, Grado Department of Industrial and Systems Engineering, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, VA, USA



Smartphone sensor Quality?



Pepa et al. 2017

Manor et al. 2018

Stride times derived from the smartphone app and a reference device were highly correlated with an error of around **17ms**



Sensor Quality is not the issue

Find a method to process the data and be robust aganist real life activities



What is real life?

Holding your smartphone the way you like to hold it, doing whatever you like, wherever you like.

It is complex!





Different walking environments



Different activities



Controlled environment test





Objective

Find a robust way to process the **smartphone Accelerometer and Gyroscope signal** for frequent phone positions to detect steps and calculate stride time variability



10 participants average Age~28 years

Preliminary Findings











Hand is a more complex: **Motion mode** of the hand affects the signal Strength of swing affects the signal

To treat mis-detected steps we apply statistical filtering

Remove abnormal strides more than 1,5sec and less than 0,8sec Remove strides more than 3 SD away from the mean



Findings



		Treadmill operational mode					
%filtered	Low	Comfortable	High	Asymmetrical			
Waist	1 ± 1	2 ± 4	1 ± 1	$1\pm 0.$			
Pocket	$1\pm0.$	2 ± 2	1 ± 2	$0.\pm0.$			
Hand	25 ± 14	14 ± 8	10 ± 6	31 ± 16			

Detection of steps is really important: Must improve

		Treadmill operational mode					
	Placements	slow	comfortable	high		Asymmetrical	
Mean σ_t [ms]	waist	31	21	16	+ *	30	
	pocket	29	18	15	+ *	31	
	hand	30	19	15	+ *	30	
Mean $\sigma_{\rm s}^{\rm adj}$ [ms]	waist	31	22	15	+*‡	35	
	pocket	31	17	15	+*	33	
	hand	28	21	14	+	30	
RMSE $\sigma_{\rm s}^{\rm adj}$ [ms]	waist	6	3	2		4	
	pocket	2	3	5		4	
	hand	5	9	10		7	

* Significance between low and comfortable speed; [‡] Significance between comfortable and high speed;
 [†] Significance between low and high speed; No statistical test was done on asymmetrical gait because of the low number of participants.





Measurements in Elderly and Young



Objective

Calculate **gait variability** and **nonlinear measure**: Lyapunov exponent on elderly and young adults



We developed an android app for hospital physiotherapist to measure phone IMU signals

Enguerren Houdry





Findings: Gait variability



Average: 34 \pm 13 ms



(Hausdorff et al., 1997)



Findings: Lyapunov

3

2.5

2

1.5

1

0.5

0

What is the Lyapunov exponent?

- Estimates a system's local dynamic stability. •
- Reflects the ability to recover from small perturbation ٠
- The higher it is, the worse the system's resistance to local perturbations •



1.26

Young

1.24





Machine learning and improved hand step detection



8 subjects walking on treadmill 3 different speeds for 210 secs and recording 360 steps per speed per subject.

Total number of steps in database: 3*360*8= 8640 examples





X=Features Y= 0 if no-step 1 if step occurred

Histogram based Gradient boosting



Sensitivity: 98% Specificity: 96%





- Only treadmill walking
- No irregular activities
- Sensor placed in hand (wrist placement can be different)
- Only on young adults





Conclusions and Future work



Conclusions



We were able to measure stride time variability with a good precision for different phone handling positions



Created a phone IMU recording app and were able to record 6MWT at Hospital and extract data



Promissing results from machine learning for step detection



Future work

Enlarge dataset to include many cases for machine learning model





Use online databases Medipole experiment data



Future work

Include more elderly population and follow up phases.



We want to get to ambulatory assessments



Thank you for listening



Laboratoire de Biomécanique et Mécanique des Chocs (LBMC UMR_T 9406, UCBL-Univ Eiffel), Lyon

ou pour la version anglaise

Biomechanics and Impact Mechanics Laboratory Univ Lyon – Univ Eiffel, France

